# How To Make a Nigger Slave

By Willie Lynch (A.D. 1712)

with commentary by Alfred Adask (A.D. 2009).

What follows (eventually) is one of the most insightful, astonishing and frightening letters I've ever seen. The damn thing is spooky to the point of being supernatural.

Theories and theorists come and go. A handful of scientific, social and political theories may stand the test of time, but few last for long. People come up with what may seem to be brilliant ideas or hypotheses. Those theories work for a while and then they're usually either discredited or rendered obsolete by "new and improved" theories.

Even those who devise theories that last for a few generations seldom have any clue to how their theories will be applied even 50 years later. It's hard enough to create a viable "theory"—but it's virtually impossible for a theorist to predict exactly how his theory will be applied and how it will affect society in 50, let alone 300, years.

What follows is a letter written by Willie Lynch in A.D. 1712. In that letter, Lynch advanced a theory on how to incite a *predisposition to be slaves* in a population (American Negroes) so that these people would become "*self-enslaving*". Lynch (from whose name the word "lynching" is derived) claimed his psychological technology—once initiated—could be *self-perpetuating* for at least "300 years, maybe thousands". That is, he claimed that his technology would cause the *slaves* themselves to provide the energy required to keep themselves enslaved.

When I first read Lynch's claim, I half snickered. I almost stopped reading his letter. His claim seemed absurd. *Nobody* could set a psychological technology in place that would be self-perpetuating for 300 years.

But by the time I'd finished Lynch's letter, I was stunned and shocked to realize that his technology was not only possible but *had*, *in fact*, *been achieved*. That is, in A.D. 1712, Lynch showed other slave-owners how to make their Negro slaves become the agents and enforcers of their own slavery. Not for a year or a decade or even a generation—but for 300 years. And his theory not only worked but, *just as Lynch predicted*, is *still working*—300 years later.

More, if you read closely you'll see that the principles Lynch advocated for use against Negroes in A.D. 1712 are now being used today by our government against all Americans. You and I and our children are being conditioned to not merely accept slavery but to insist on slavery, to enforce slavery, and teach our children to teach their children to become slaves.

The genius of Lynch's insight and audacity is absolutely awesome. The fact that he was able to accurately *predict* that his theory, once initiated, should last for "300 years, maybe thousands" is *frightening*. When a theorist can accurately "predict" the effect of his theory 300 years later, are we dealing with mere "prediction"? Or are we witnessing something akin to "prophecy".

For me, there is something supernatural about Lynch's genius. His theory and recommendations were wicked. He knew they were wicked. He admitted they were wicked. And yet, to this day, virtually no one has attempted to stop the wickedness Lynch publicly advocated.

If someone produced evidence to suggest that Willie Lynch were Satan incarnate, I would not object to that evidence.

Let's start with an email from Tom Gipson:

"This should make you think a little.

"Speech made in Virginia in 1712 by Willie Lynch, slave owner, on breaking horses and men, and removing them from their natural state. Willie understood the concepts of "The Matrix." He understood how to imprison the slaves in the phantasmagorical, intellectually vacuous world of their own psyche and render them compliant, malleable and docile. He knew how to instill dependence on the master.

"The more things change, the more they stay the same. The slaves are still dependent on their master, and on the "appearance of government." The police are the master's modern overseers and humiliate the men in front of their women. The master encourages cross breeding. The master separates men from women. The master has "liberated" the women and made them independent. The master has dumbed down the children until the language they speak barely resembles English."

If anything, Tom's email understates the significance of the Willie Lynch letter.

A pristine copy of the Willie Lynch letter in PDF format can be found at:

http://www.itsabouttimebpp.com/BPP\_Books/pdf/The\_Willie\_Lynch\_Letter\_T he\_Making\_Of\_A\_Slave!.pdf

What follows is the text of the Willie Lynch letter broken down almost sentence-by-sentence, with my various added highlights and comments in [bold, bracketed blue]:

# The Willie Lynch Letter: The Making Of A Slave!

This speech was delivered by Willie Lynch on the bank of the James River in the colony of Virginia in A.D. **1712**. Lynch was a British slave owner in the West Indies. He was invited to the colony of **Virginia** in A.D. 1712 to teach his methods to slave owners there.

December 25, 1712

#### Gentlemen:

I greet you here on the bank of the James River in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and twelve. First, I shall thank you, the gentlemen of the Colony of Virginia, for bringing me here. I am here to help you solve some of your problems with slaves. Your invitation reached me on my modest plantation in the West Indies, where I have **experimented** with some of the **newest** and still the **oldest** methods for control of slaves. Ancient Rome's would envy us if my program is implemented.

As our boat sailed south on the James River, named for our illustrious King, whose version of the Bible we cherish, I saw enough to know that your problem is not unique. While Rome used cords of wood as crosses for standing human bodies along its highways in great numbers, you are here using the tree and the rope on occasions. I caught the whiff of a dead slave hanging from a tree, a couple miles back. You are not only losing valuable stock by hangings, you are having uprisings, slaves are running away, your crops are sometimes left in the fields too long for maximum profit, You suffer occasional fires, your animals are killed.

[In other words, the widespread use of raw force to suppress the slaves was counter-productive and unprofitable. Mr. Lynch would show them how to control their slaves with less violence and more "psychology" resulting in *more profits*. The object of slavery was the accumulation of wealth—the love of money.]

Gentlemen, you know what your problems are; I do not need to elaborate. I am not here to enumerate your problems, I am here to introduce you to a method of solving them. In my bag here, I have a foolproof method for controlling your black slaves. I guarantee every one of you that if installed correctly it will control the slaves for at least 300 years [that would be at least until A.D. 2012]. My method is simple. Any member of your family or your overseer can use it. I have outlined a number of differences among the slaves and make the differences bigger. I use fear, distrust and envy for control.

These methods have worked on my modest plantation in the West Indies and it will work throughout the South. Take this simple little list of **differences** and think about them.

On top of my list is "age" but it's there only because it starts with an "A." The second is "COLOR" or shade, there is intelligence, size, sex, size of plantations and status on plantations, attitude of owners, whether the slaves live in the valley, on a hill, East, West, North, South, have fine hair, course hair, or is tall or short. Now that you have a list of differences, [Today, "multi-culturism" provides our "differences".] I shall give you an outline of action, but before that, I shall assure you that distrust is stronger than trust and envy stronger than adulation, respect or admiration. The Black slaves after receiving this indoctrination shall carry on and will become self refueling and self generating for hundreds of years, maybe thousands.

[Again, when I first read Lynch's claim in the previous sentence, I though Lynch must be mad. How th' hell could anyone cause a people to become *self-perpetuating* slaves for 300 years? The idea is insane.

I now know that I was wrong and Lynch was a genius of diabolical proportions. If you look at today's American Black population, you realize that Lynch's claim was absolutely right. Based on Lynch's technology, the great-great-great-grandchildren of former slaves are still perpetuating the conditions and mentality most conducive to their own slavery. This self-perpetuating mindset is not merely in effect 300 years after Lynch wrote, it's in effect 140 years after slavery was officially ended by the Civil War.

Damn! As you'll read, Lynch's insights and recommendations read less like a "technology" and more like a "curse".

I have never before seen such a profoundly accurate application of human psychology on a mass scale over a period of centuries. And to see that this "technology" was recognized *at least* 300 years ago is scary. If some common slave holder in A.D. 1712 figured out how to "Make a slave," I guarantee that the people who really run our government today know everything Lynch knew and much more.]

Don't forget you must pitch the **old** black Male **vs**. the **young** black Male, and the **young** black Male **against** the **old** black male.

[Today, So-So Security and deficit financing should help antagonize young against old. More commonly, we have a whole "youth culture" with its own music, styles, and drugs to resist the "old". This is simply a technique of dividing and conquering today's "slaves". The young ridicule the old; the old condemn the young. We dissipate our energy fighting each other rather than fighting (or even recognizing) our real enemy.]

You must use the dark skin slaves vs. the light skin slaves, and the light skin slaves vs. the dark skin slaves. You must use the female vs. the male. And the male vs. the female.

[Today, given the maternal presumption in the divorce courts and the woman's legalized capacity to simply rob her husband blind anytime she feels like it, it's fair to say that American males have come to deeply distrust American females. And women have likewise been taught to distrust males with feminist notions about "glass ceilings" and similar discrimination. Result? Divide and conquer.]

You must also have you white servants and overseers distrust all Blacks. It is necessary that your slaves trust and depend on us.

[Today, our "slaves" (lower classes) trust and depend on the government welfare, Obama, etc., but don't trust "whites," and employers. Even the middle class has become increasingly dependent upon and therefore inclined to trust the government rather than "free enterprise". And nobody trusts the cops, lawyers and judges who work as today's "overseers." That distrust is mutual and—according to Lynch—important to sustain a slave mentality. I suspect that Lynch means that so long as we distrust each other, we are captured by a spirit of fear. In that fear, we are more easily controlled and rendered "dependent" on government.

Conversely, when a man "trusts" his society, his fellow man and neighbors, he is without fear and able to "naturally" embrace and expect his own freedom. But in a state of fear, he's more predisposed to submit to bondage.

White people fear blacks. That fear is almost instinctive. But who fears blacks even more? Other blacks. Who fears living among blacks as much as blacks? Lynch implies that such a constant state of self-perpetuating violence and fear could reduce any people to a point where the protection of a "master" would be sought and the surrender of personal freedom would be deemed by most a small price to pay for the security of a "master".

In America, after WWII, we had the Evil Empire communists to strike fear in the hearts of Americans. When the Soviet Union collapsed around A.D. 1991, our government did not cheer but instead seemed deeply distressed of the loss of our national "boogey-man".

But, on 9/11 A.D. 2001, four planes were hijacked, three building collapses and the Pentagon was damaged, and Americans were once again confronted by the specter of the Islamic "terrorist".

Could our government exist without a boogey-man to inspire Americans' fears? Conversely, would Americans be as subservient to government if they were not motivated by fear? Lynch implies the answers are No.]

They [the slaves] must love, respect and trust only us.

[Today, the "us" would not be slave-holders, per se, but politicians. We may hate the cops and the bureaucrats, but we love our politicians. At least some of them. We trust Bill Clinton, George W. Bush and Barak Obama. And we are deceived and betrayed every time.]

Gentlemen, these kits are your keys to control. Use them. Have your wives and children use them, never miss an opportunity. If used intensely for one year, the slaves themselves will remain perpetually distrustful of each other.

[Insofar as the American people can be made to fear each other, the people be enslaved and the government can occupy the role of slave-owner.]

Thank you gentlemen

#### Lets Make a Slave

It was the interest and **business** of slave holders to **study human nature**, and the **slave nature** in particular, with a view to practical results. I and many of them attained astonishing proficiency in this direction. They had to deal not with earth, wood and stone, but with **men** and by every regard they had for their own safety and prosperity, they needed to know the material on which they were to work.

[Mr. Lynch implicitly admits that the slave owners *knew* that the "slaves" were "men" and not chattel (animals). Thus, the slave-owers' object was to reduce a "man" to the status of a "slave". This degradation was intended to be achieved less by force than by "psychology".

#### Reason?

First, it is *uneconomical* to hire someone to physically oversee every slave every hour of every day. Therefore, the slave had to be made to be psychologically "self-enslaving". Once the man was psychologically induced to become a slave, he remained in that condition for the balance of his life.

Second, the physical control of slaves is morally debilitating on even the enforcers. Eventually, all but the most hardened psychopath grows sick in his soul from beating on other people. But all that moral disintegration could be avoided if

a technology could be devised where the slave-owner beat the victims for a year or two, but then the slaves themselves perpetuated their own slavery for centuries.

Then the slave owner could look upon his slaves as incompetents and fools and upon himself as an upstanding, honorable man. After all, the slave-owner would only rarely beat his slaves. His slaves would effectively manifest their consent and even desire to remain as slaves.

Lynch's theory achieved just that result.

It is diabolical.

If Adolph Hitler had had access to Lynch's theory and methods, today we might all be speaking German.]

Conscious of the injustice and wrong they were every hour perpetuating and knowing what they [the slave-owners] themselves would do [if they were enslaved], were they the victims of such wrongs? They were constantly looking for the first signs of the dreaded retribution.

[Lynch acknowledges that the slave-owners were terrified by their slaves and the thought that the slaves might one day rise up to not simply demand their freedom, but murder their oppressors.

Today, our government is similarly afraid of its "slaves"—the "citizens of the United States". That's why government is desperate to disarm the people and control the internet.

Our government—which now serves itself and certain special interests—no longer serves the American people, but instead exploits them and seeks to enslave

them. Our government is therefore just as scared of today's American taxpayerslaves as were the slave-owners of 300 years ago. And rightly so.

The question is: Will today's American taxpayer-slaves be any more successful in 1) regaining their sense of self-worth; and 2) regaining their freedom—than were the pre-civil war slaves?]

They [slave-owners] watched, therefore with skilled and practiced eyes, and learned to read with great accuracy, the state of mind and heart of the slave, through his sable face.

[This "watching" with "great accuracy" parallels today's "police state" and all of the domestic spying upon the American taxpayer-slaves. Gov-co fears an uprising among the taxpayer-slaves so gov-co watches closely. Gov-co is right to be fearful.]

Unusual **sobriety**, apparent **abstractions**, sullenness and indifference indeed, **any mood out of the common** was afforded ground for **suspicion and inquiry**.

["Unusual sobriety" and "abstractions" suggest *thoughtfulness*. The wise slave-owner does not want his slaves to *think*.

Today, our culture reduces our time to think by 1) raising taxes and forcing more people to work longer hours, leaving less time to "think"; 2) entertainment—who "thinks" while watching football games, CSI or American Idol? Who "thinks" while listening to rock music on radio or from an IPod? And 3) drugs—who is likely to do much serious thinking while he's drunk on alcohol, stoned on marijuana, or rendered "happy" by anti-depressants?

And look at America's black community wherein some black teenagers will assault and sometimes kill other black teenagers who are *studying too much*, acting too "white" and trying to learn to "think". Again, Lynch's observations and methods are shown to be valid *over centuries*. Even today, *blacks* are working to prevent other blacks from engaging in the only thing that might free them: thought.

It's not whitey that's oppressing the blacks—at least not primarily. It's the blacks, themselves, who are primarily responsible for oppressing themselves—just as Lynch predicted.

I am in awe of Lynch's insight.]

Let us make a slave. What do we need? First of all we need a black nigger man [taxpayer], a pregnant nigger woman [taxpayer] and her baby nigger boy.

[Today, thanks to deficit financing, even children are "taxpayers" in that they've already been committed without their knowledge or consent to pay the taxes in the future to repay the funds used to bribe their parents to support the existing government (slave owner) today.

In a sense, our children are not being educated to work, they are being educated to pay taxes.].

Second, we will use the same basic principle that we use in **breaking a horse**, combined with some **more sustaining factors**. What we do with horses is that we **break them from one form of life to another** that is we **reduce them from their natural state in nature**.

[Today, packing people into big cities essentially reduces them from their "natural state of nature". By depriving them of their "natural state" on the soil in some rural area, the people are rendered more docile and easily controlled. Conversely, gov-co fears the "country boys" who—being more like "natural men"—are more willing and able to resist government conditioning and even fight for their freedom.

This distinction between "natural" and "unnatural" states is to some degree reflected in the current "Red State/Blue State" dichotomy in American politics. The "Red States" tend to be more rural and include more people who are independent, self-reliant and hostile to big government. The Blue States tend to be more urban and include more people who are dependant, over-stressed and advocating big government as a "big brother" to protect and provide for the urbanites.

It's probably no accident that gov-co is attempting to reduce the rural population and entice more people into the major cities. City-folk are more docile. City-folk are more obedient. City-folk make better slaves.]

Whereas nature provides them [men and women] with the natural capacity to take care of their offspring, we break that natural string of independence from them and thereby create a dependency status, so that we may be able to get from them useful production for our business and pleasure.

[Our gov-co created "Aid To Families With Dependent Children" (AFDC; welfare) in the 1960s to "help" the poor negro mothers raise their children.

Today, those children (and now grandchildren) are in many cases institutionalized "wards of this state" who are largely incapable of even imagining that they could support themselves independently and without gov-co welfare. If Mr. Lynch's principles are valid, AFDC has created an underclass of dependents who are

largely incapable of even imagining themselves as "men made in God's image and endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable Rights". Such dependents are perfect candidates for slavery.

More recently, gov-co has created "Child Protective Services" (CPS) which claim the right to take any child at any time. There's hardly one American parent with an IQ over 90 who's not secretly terrified by the mere thought of CPS. Gov-co claims to own our children today, just as slave-masters once claimed ownership of the children born to slaves. There is no difference.

More frighteningly, there's no difference in today's response to government claims to own our children and the responses of slaves of 200 years ago. Today, we pretty much accept the idea that government can take our children, just like the earlier slaves accepted the same idea. This implies that Americans have already absorbed much of the slave mentality.

What gov-co has done to today's negro welfare recipients, it's also done to white females with 1) no fault divorce; 2) maternal presumption; 3) promises of child support; and 4) welfare from government. Tempted by easy, no-fault divorce and the maternal presumption (women can't lose), women file the majority of divorces in this country. Gov-co has admitted women file 70% of all divorces; men's groups claim the number is closer to 90%. Once these white women are divorced and discover that the promised child support will not come, these women have nowhere to turn but to gov-co for support. Result? White children raised on welfare, stripped of their capacity for independence, and "institutionalized" in that they become as "naturally" dependent on gov-co as negro welfare recipients. Result? White taxpayer-slaves.

Admittedly, this underclass of black and white "slaves" will not pay much in taxes since they'll be unable to earn much. But many will, of necessity, self-righteously claim their right to welfare and the correlative duty by those who

have jobs or businesses to pay enough taxes to support the slaves. Many of these slaves will vote. Others will riot.

Result? These welfare-slaves will help gov-co force the remaining productive members of society to pay more taxes to pacify the non-production (incapacitated) slaves and thereby suppress the riots.

Thus, the welfare-slaves may not pay taxes, but they compel others to pay taxes. The welfare slaves may not be taxpayers, but they indirectly force others to be taxpayers.

This appears to be another manifestation of the Lynch's exploitation of "differences" (divide and conquer).]

## Cardinal Principles for making a Negro

For fear that our future Generations may not understand the principles of breaking both of the **beasts** together, the nigger and the horse.

[Here, Lynch either denies that Negroes are men, or he implies that "niggers" (but not Negroes?) are "beasts" (animals).]

We understand that **short range** planning economics results in **periodic economic chaos**; so that **to avoid turmoil in the economy**, it requires us to have breath and depth in **long range** comprehensive planning, articulating both skill sharp perceptions.

[Mr. Lynch and former President Clinton would seem to agree that "It's the economy, stupid!" But what, ultimately, is the "economy" if not a scientific expression of the "love of money"? Lynch implies that slavery may be an

inevitable consequence (or at least a laudable objective) of the principles of modern "economics".]

We lay down the following principles for long range comprehensive economic planning. Both horse and niggers is no good to the economy in the wild or natural state. Both must be broken and tied together for orderly production.

[I.e., the man must be reduced to the status of an "animal". (See my blog under the "man or other animals" category.) The "animal" must then be "broken" to <u>serve others rather than itself</u>. Today, the slave, the employee and the taxpayer serve others as *fiduciaries* for their owners, employers and government.]

For orderly future, **special and particular attention** must be paid to the **female and the youngest offspring**. Both must be **crossbred** to produce a **variety** and **division of labor**.

[The slave owner does not want a single "pure" race of slaves. He does not want a "nation" of slaves. He wants "multi-cultural" slaves of differing appearances that can dissipate their anger and energy of resistance on fellow slaves of a different hue, rather than direct it toward the "master" that oppresses all. Divide and conquer.

Today, our government condemns the former white "homogeneity" and encourages Americans to celebrate "multiculturalism".]

Both must be taught to respond to a **peculiar new language**. Psychological and physical instruction of **containment** must be created for both.

[I'm not sure what Lynch meant by "containment". I suspect he means that the slaves must be taught to see their whole existence as "contained" within the fence lines of their particular plantation. I suspect that Lynch did not want slaves to have ideas or hopes that were tied to an "uncontained" existence outside of the of the plantation. The ideal slave did not contemplate the next galaxy or the next State or even the next county. The ideal slave's entire life was devoted merely to understanding his particular "hood".

If so, nothing new under the sun, hmm?]

We hold the **six cardinal principles** as **truth** to be **self evident**, based upon the following the discourse concerning the **economics** of breaking and tying the horse and the nigger together, all inclusive of the six principles laid down about.

NOTE: Neither principle alone will suffice for good **economics**. **All** principles must be employed for orderly good of the **nation**.

[Lynch's reference to the word "nation" implies that slave masters are and should remain to be of one pure race (nation) while the slaves should be of mixed races living within the territory controlled by the pure race.

Today, our government is doing all it can to encourage foreign races and cultures to enter into this country legally or illegally. The result of this immigration will be to replace the formerly dominant white culture with a multicultural mix that (if Lynch is right) will render this nation more easily enslaved.]

Accordingly, both a wild horse and a wild or nature nigger is **dangerous** even if captured, for they will have the **tendency to seek their customary freedom**, and in doing so, **might kill you** in your sleep. **You cannot rest**. They sleep while you are

awake, and are awake while you are asleep. They are dangerous near the family house and it requires too much labor to watch them away from the house. Above all, you cannot get them to work in this natural state.

[Good LORD, Lynch recognizes that "work" (at least *for others*) is a kind of slavery. He implies (correctly, I think) that "work" is "unnatural" in the sense that "work" done for other others or for money is virtually unknown in the "natural world". Work (like slavery) exists only in the artificial world of man and civilization and among some "social" insect like bees and ants.]

Hence both the horse and the nigger must be **broken**; that is breaking them from one form of **mental life** to **another**. Keep the body **take the mind!** In other words **break** the **will to resist**. Now the breaking process is the same for both the horse and the nigger, only slightly varying in degrees. But as we said before, there is an **art** in **long range economic planning**. You must keep your eye and thoughts on the **female** and the **offspring** of the horse and the nigger. A brief discourse in offspring development will shed light on the key to sound **economic** principles. Pay little attention to the generation of original breaking, but **concentrate** on **future** generations.

[Gives me chills. Today's government is all about helping mothers (AFDC) and children (public education and CPS). Today's government truly "concentrates on future generations".]

Therefore, if you break the female mother [with no-fault divorce and welfare], she will break the offspring in its early years of development and when the offspring is old enough to work, she will deliver it up to you [as a slave], for her normal female protective tendencies will have been lost in the original breaking process.

[And there is the <u>heart</u> of the "Lynch Method". Break the <u>mother</u> and rely on her to break all of her children.

As you'll read, the slave master must only break the first generation of slave *mothers*. Then the slave master can relax and enjoy a genteel life while the *mothers* train their children to be slaves, and those children (having learned to be slaves) will train their children to be slaves and so on, through generation after generation. It is by this mechanism that Lynch correctly predicted that his technology, once initiated, should continue for at least "300 years" and maybe "thousands".

But note that this principle presumes that the <u>father has been removed</u> <u>from the family</u>—exactly as happened under AFDC and no-fault, maternal-presumption divorce. The original terms of AFDC were that black mothers could receive welfare for as many kids as they wanted, but only on condition that *no black male* was allowed to live in the mother's residence. The presence of the male—and especially a father—was the principle threat to the institution of slavery.

Conversely, the matriarchy is most conducive to slavery.

A patriarchy is most conducive to independence and freedom.]

For example take the case of the wild stud horse, a female horse and an already infant horse and compare the breaking process with two captured nigger males in their natural state, a pregnant nigger woman with her infant offspring. Take the stud horse, break him for limited containment.

Completely break the female horse until she becomes very gentle, whereas you or anybody can ride her in her comfort. Breed the mare and the stud until you have the desired offspring. Then you can turn the stud to freedom until you need

him again. Train the female horse whereby she will eat out of your hand, and she will in turn train the infant horse to eat out of your hand also.

[This is exactly what we seen in two-, three- and four-generation welfare families. Once a mother is taught to "eat out of government's hand" by relying on welfare support payments, she implicitly trains her children to also "eat out of the government's hand". Result? Generation after generation of "institutionalized" dependents and welfare recipients.

Right now, about one-third of Americans are directly or indirectly dependent on government for their support. They are either government employees, or on welfare or hold "private sector" jobs in businesses that depend on government contracts to survive.

One class of "private sector jobs" that depends on government is the production of military weapons like tanks, jet fighters and aircraft carriers. This production of weapons is justified as necessary to "defend our freedoms".

But, if Lynch's theory is correct, insofar as building more weapons creates more jobs that are dependent on government, we are tending increasing the American slave mentality. Thus, our determination to build more weapons to protect our freedom, we may actually be losing our freedom.

The irony is breathtaking. ]

When it comes to breaking the uncivilized nigger, use the same process, but vary the degree and step up the pressure, so as to do a **complete reversal of the mind**. Take the meanest and most restless nigger, strip him of his clothes in front of the remaining male niggers, the female, and the nigger infant, tar and feather him, tie each leg to a different horse faced in opposite directions, set him afire and beat both horses to pull him apart in front of the remaining [male] nigger. The next step is to

take a bull whip and beat the remaining nigger male to the point of death, in front of the female and the infant. Don't kill him, but put the **fear** of God in him, for he can be **useful for future breeding**.

[The slave-master rules by brutalizing to death those males that defy authority and brutalizing to terror those males who might resist.

The master makes the brutalization public so even women and children can see, and the result will be a docile, easily enslaved female and offspring.

The male who survives will be humiliated before the female and child. The male will lose authority over his own family. The female—largely immune from the most extreme kinds of brutality—will become the respected "authority" figure within the slave culture while the male is reduced to the capacity of a "shiftless" breeder who does little more than occasionally contribute some sperm.

A *matriarchy* that will teach its children to be slaves is thereby spawned and institutionalized.

How different is the process of public brutalization of male slaves recommended by Lynch in A.D. 1712 from the modern divorce court bias in favor of women? What women doesn't know and depend on the pro-female bias of our divorce courts? What man doesn't live in secret fear of his wife suddenly filing for a divorce and running his life? What child who's lived through a divorce doesn't understand that the females hold the power while the men are reduced to social impotence?

Thus, if Lynch was right, the maternal presumption and the female propensity to take advantage of the governmental bias are ultimately conducive to raising children predisposed to be slaves.

The idea that matriarchies are conducive to slavery is no accident or mere speculation. When Rome ruled the known world, in the event of a divorce, the

children of free men (Romans) always went with their fathers. There was a paternal presumption because the Romans knew that a child raised without a father was typically weak and lacked sufficient self-esteem and independence to fight against oppression.

The children of Roman slaves, on the other hand, always went with their mothers and seldom even knew their fathers. Result? The children of slaves, deprived of a *father's* influence, were naturally incapacitated and less able to fight for themselves. The "momma's boys" of the slave matriarchy, would always be less able and less likely to revolt.]

## The Breaking Process of the African Woman

Take the female and run a series of **tests** on her to see if she will **submit** to your desires **willingly**. Test her in every way, because **she is the most important factor** for good **economics**.

[The female is the "drone" in the economic "hive" who will work hardest for the least pay. Hence the "maternal presumption" is good for big business, big government, and national bondage.]

If she shows any sign of resistance in submitting completely to your will, do not hesitate to use the bull whip on her to extract that last bit of resistance out of her. Take care not to kill her, for in doing so, you spoil good economic. When in complete submission, she will train her off springs in the early years to submit to labor when they become of age.

[Again, the key to Lynch's technology is to separate the woman from her husband, break the woman and rely on the broken women to then break her own children.

Our government promotes "women's rights" and for many, that object seems worthy. But the real effect of "women's rights" is to separate the female from the male and cause the female searching for "independence" to become dependent on government rather than her husband. Females are naturally more "dependent" than males because they are smaller, weaker, and extremely vulnerable when pregnant or raising a young child. It's at least likely that these characteristics of physical dependence are supported with a tendency to psychological dependence.

Once the woman is empowered by "women's rights" to avoid depending on a man, the woman will inevitably find herself in circumstances where she must depend on the government. Once she starts "eating out of the government's hand," her children will soon follow and the nation will be increasingly induced to accept bondage.

## . . . God, I hate the government of "this state".]

Understanding is the best thing. Therefore, we shall go deeper into this area of the subject matter concerning what we have produced here in this breaking process of the female nigger. We have reversed the relationship in her natural uncivilized state she would have a strong dependency on the uncivilized nigger male, and she would have a limited protective tendency toward her independent male offspring and would raise male offsprings to be dependent like her. Nature had provided for this type of balance.

We reversed nature by burning and pulling a civilized nigger apart and bull whipping the other to the point of death, all in her presence. By her being left alone, unprotected, with the male image destroyed, the ordeal caused her to

move from her psychological dependent state to a frozen independent state. In this frozen psychological state of independence, she will raise her male and female offspring in reversed roles.

[When Lynch "reversed nature," he "reversed" the laws of nature that are instituted by the God of the Bible. What Lynch did was wicked.

Thanks to Lynch, the female (terrified by the sight of the destruction of her mate and protector) will be driven into a state of "independence" (women's lib?) from which she will raise her boys to be submissive (lest he be destroyed for resisting authority) and her daughters (who will not be killed by the "massa") to be aggressive. Being raised to be aggressive and superior to her brothers, the Negro female child will grow into a Negro female slave who will, in turn, raise her sons to be submissive (less he be killed by the "massa") and her daughters to be dominant.

Those daughters will retain their "dominance" long after they have their own children and therefore cause *their* sons to be submissive and the next generation of daughters to be aggressive.

And thus, the slave matriarchy will cause the slave mentality to become self-sustaining, generation after generation, just as Lynch guaranteed at the beginning of his letter.

I have to admit that Willie Lynch was a freakin' genius. He really did promote a system to "make niggers" (create slaves) that is insightful, selfperpetuating and diabolical.

Even today, the American Black population—although freed from the outward manifestations of the slavery that ended with the Civil War—are still trapped in a matriarchal culture that produces weak, dependent boys and strong, independent females.

Once started, how could Lynch's self-perpetuating technology be arrested? Only by faith. Only by a return to the "natural" principles of the Bible that recognize that female as the "weaker vessel". If 1) American men (both black and white) found their faith and reasserted their role as the "stronger vessel"; and 2) American women (black and white) agreed to abandon their independence from men and trade their dependence on government for dependence on men, the self-perpetuating process of raising generation after generation of docile slaves could be stopped.

It's not hard to imagine that American males (even those raised without fathers) might find the "balls" to reassert themselves as "men". But unless a particular woman was almost as devout as a nun, I can't imagine that any modern American woman who would voluntarily surrender her current advantages under the maternal presumption, feminist biases, etc., to accept a newfound dependence on her MAN rather than her government.

What American woman would be willing to surrender her role as matriarch just so the people of this nation (including her own children) might once again regain their Liberty? Do you know of any? I can imagine a few, but I don't personally know of one.

However, while this problem may be primarily perpetuated by feminists and single-parent (fatherless) homes, the fault does not lie strictly with women. The real fault might be attributed to the white men who were once free and in control, but *allowed* an endless series of government laws and programs that were ultimately conducive to the matriarchy and destructive of the patriarchy.

Why th' hell were American men so morally weak that they allowed our G.D. government to raise taxes to a point where the average man can no longer earn enough to support his wife and children? Why did American men allow government to grow so great and taxes grow so unbearable that women had to

work, had to leave the house, had to leave the raising of their children to day care centers and strangers?

Why didn't American men simply kill the bastards who were bent on destroying this nation and enslaving its people?

It's not hard to see how this slave mentality might've been imposed on the relatively powerless Negroes. But how was this same slave mentality imposed on the white American males? How were whites—who held predominant power and could've crushed the government if they had the mind and balls to do so, cowed into a submissive mentality?

I suspect that the charges of "racism" (which are always directed at whites) helped to sap white self-esteem. The feminist's played a role. Equal rights (but not equal responsibility) cowed the men. Systematic attacks on the "white power structure" and the "male-dominated society" also helped neuter American white males. Modern divorce laws helped. There are probably scores different laws and policies that contributed to "humiliation" of all things manly and all things "white".

And perhaps the biggest reason white males did not resist our government's encroachments is that for most of the past century, American men of fighting age spent their youth fighting a war for government in some foreign land. If they're fighting in Afghanistan, they can't very well be fighting back here, can they?

Even worse, we are trained by gov-co and the media to accept the idea that fighting for our government in some foreign land is heroic and "manly". It implicitly follows that resisting your government back here in The USA is somehow treasonous and effete.

The result is that much of America is caught in a self-perpetuating system of values where only a few believe that it's even possible to resist our "slave-master" gov-co. The fault is not simply that of one gender or the other, but of

both. We have violated the first principle of what it means to be an American: We have trusted our government.

More, so long as this country tends towards a feminist matriarchy, this nation will tend to slide deeper and deeper into Lynch's self-perpetuating slave mentality.]

For fear of the young males life she [the slave mother] will psychologically train him to be mentally weak and dependent, but physically strong. [Sound much like a modern black male/athlete.] Because she has become psychologically independent, she will train her female off springs to be psychological independent. What have you got? You've got the nigger women out front and the nigger man behind and scared. This is a perfect situation of sound sleep and economic. Before the breaking process, we had to be alertly on guard at all times.

[Today, TV drama after drama, and comedy after comedy, portray the woman as kind, loving and dominant and the man as a buffoon. That kind of "conditioning" must impact young boys and even adult men. It certainly impacts young and adult women who insist on their rights, power and independence.

Result? We aren't likely to restore a patriarchy or liberty any time soon.]

Now we can sleep soundly, for out of frozen fear his woman stands guard for us. He [the slave boy] cannot get past her early slave molding process. He is a good tool, now ready to be tied to the horse at a tender age. By the time a nigger boy reaches the age of sixteen, he is soundly broken in and ready for a long life of sound and efficient work and the reproduction of a unit of good labor force.

[The love of money (economics) is the root of all evil. These "economists" see man as a "good tool" or "economic machine" rather than a spiritual being. As an "economic machine," each man is expected to work efficiently and generate a profit—but not for himself or his family. Each "unit of good labor force" is expected to serve and work for *someone else's benefit*. This is the spirit (or at least result) of enforce altruism.

Implication? By working primarily for others rather than yourself, you can become a slave.]

Continually through the breaking of uncivilized savage nigger, by throwing the nigger female savage into a frozen psychological state of independence, by killing of the protective male image, and by creating a submissive dependent mind of the nigger male slave, we have created an orbiting cycle that turns on its own axis forever, unless a phenomenon occurs and re shifts the position of the male and female slaves.

[The only way we are likely to see the natural male/female relationship restored is as consequence of a total economic collapse. When gov-co can no longer deliver the goods, the women of this country will do anything to become once again the recognized dependent of a strong man.]

We show what we mean by example. Take the case of the **two economic** slave units and examine them closely.

The Nigger Marriage

We breed two nigger males with two nigger females. Then we take the nigger males away from them [remove the father from the family] and keep them moving and working.

[In 1950, my father paid about 15% of his total income to local, state and federal taxes. Today, a young man pays *at least* 55% of his income to local, state and federal gov-co as taxes.

My father (who kept 85 cents on every dollar earned) earned enough money to allow my mother to stay home to raise me and my sister. Today, the young man who keeps only 45 cents of each dollar her earns can't earn enough to allow his wife to stay home and raise the kids.

Result? The wife tends to resent her husband—she can't depend on him to provide a "nest" where she can raise her brood. She looks elsewhere, and ultimately to gov-co for support. The husband feels incompetent/ The financial stress can precipitate divorce.

High taxes force Americans to work harder and harder and (as Lynch recommended) "keep moving and working". Thus, high taxes are conducive to slavery. Big government and high taxes are killing the families and freedom of this nation.]

Say one nigger female bears a nigger female and the other bears a nigger male. Both nigger females being without influence of the nigger male image, frozen with an independent psychology, will raise their offspring into reverse positions. The one with the female offspring will teach her [the female child] to be like herself, independent and negotiable (we negotiate with her, through her, by her, we negotiate her at will). The one with the nigger male offspring, she being frozen with a subconscious fear for his life, will raise him to be mentally dependent and weak, but physically strong, in other words, body over mind. Now in a few years

when these two offspring's become fertile for early reproduction we will mate and breed them and continue the cycle. That is good, sound, and long range comprehensive planning.

[Back in the 1700s, slave women didn't have one child, they had several. If one died, it would be sad, but there'd more to take his place.

However, today's mothers have only 1 or 2 kids. As a result, each child becomes that much more important to today's mothers. This causes even married mothers to tend to be "overly protective" of their sons and inclined to raise them to be submissive.

Thus, even small families—especially if they're "single parent"—may be conducive to a slave mentality.

Our government has raised taxes so high, most of us can't afford to raise a large family even if we want to. Government has likewise raised regulations concerning children to such a degree that adults are now secretly afraid to discipline their children. Children know that the call CPS or some other governmental agency any time they like to "turn their parents in". Children have learned to depend on government rather than parents. That childhood dependency is conducive to their adult bondage.]

#### Warning: Possible Interloping Negatives

Earlier we talked about the **non economic** good of the horse and the nigger in their **wild or natural** state; we talked out the principle of breaking and tying them together for orderly production.

[Lynch implicitly admits that things "economic" are not wild nor are they "natural". That which is "economic" is "unnatural".]

Furthermore, we talked about paying particular attention to the female savage and her offspring for orderly future planning, then more recently we stated that, by reversing the positions of the male and female savages, we created an orbiting cycle that turns on its own axis forever unless a phenomenon occurred and reshift the positions of the male and female savages. Our experts warned us about the possibility of this phenomenon occurring, for they say that the mind has a strong drive to correct and re-correct itself over a period of time if it can touch some substantial original historical base, [Such as the Bible, the Declaration of Independence, etc.] and they advised us that the best way to deal with the phenomenon is to shave off the brute's mental history and create a multiplicity of phenomena of illusions, so that each illusion will twirl in its own orbit, something similar to floating balls in a vacuum.

[I'd sure like to know who Lynch's "experts" were. Perhaps they were the real diabolical geniuses behind this process.

And what the heck is a "multiplicity of phenomena of illusions"? Did anyone really write that way 300 years ago? Were people that *astute* 300 years ago? After reading the previous text, I began to suspect that either: 1) Lynch was a genius of epochal proportions; 2) I am simply ignorant of the state of psychological knowledge in A.D. 1712; or 3) this document was not entirely written in A.D. 1712.

So I Googled "multiplicity of phenomena of illusions" and received 64,000 hits. Virtually all of the first two pages pointed back to Lynch; none appeared to doubt that he authored this text. Nothing implied a fraud.

Apparently, Lynch really wrote about a "multiplicity of phenomena of illusions" and "orbiting cycles" back in A.D. 1712.]

This creation of multiplicity of phenomena of illusions entails the principle of crossbreeding the nigger and the horse as we stated above, the purpose of which is to create a diversified division of labor thereby creating different levels of labor and different values of illusion at each connecting level of labor. The results of which is the severance of the points of original beginnings for each sphere illusion. [Say whuut?] Since we feel that the subject matter may get more complicated as we proceed in laying down our economic plan concerning the purpose, reason and effect of crossbreeding horses and nigger, we shall lay down the following definition terms for future generations.

Orbiting cycle means a thing turning in a given path. Axis means upon which or around which a body turns. Phenomenon means something beyond ordinary conception and inspires awe and wonder. [Like the previously-described, fantastic brutalization and murder of the Negro male slave.] Multiplicity means a great number. Sphere means a globe. Cross breeding a horse means taking a horse and breeding it with an ass and you get a dumb backward ass long headed mule that is not reproductive nor productive by itself.

Crossbreeding niggers mean taking so many drops of good white blood and putting them into as many nigger women as possible, varying the drops by the various tone that you want, and then letting them breed with each other until another cycle of color appears as you desire. What this means is this; Put the niggers and the horse in a breeding pot, mix some assess and some good white blood and what do you get? You got a multiplicity of colors of ass backward, unusual niggers, running, tied to a backward ass long headed mule, the one productive of itself, the other sterile. (The one constant, the other dying, we keep the nigger constant for we may replace the mules for another tool) both mule and nigger tied to each other, neither knowing where the other came from and neither productive for itself, nor without each other.

[I'm not sure I understand what Lynch meant, but I suspect he was recommending that the Negro slave be "tied" to some "machine" or "tool".

That "machine" could be a mule or it could be cotton gin or might be an assembly line. But the slave had to come to see his worth as derived from a "machine" that the slave didn't create, couldn't fully understand, and absolutely didn't own.

Thus, the slave's worth would always be external to himself. The slave would always depend on the "machine" that was owned by the "massa".

Implication: A free man owns his own machines, tools, books and guns.]

#### Control the Language

Crossbreeding completed, for further severance from their original beginning, we must completely **annihilate the mother tongue** of both the new nigger and the new mule and **institute a new language** that involves the **new life's work** of both.

You know language is a peculiar institution. It leads to the heart of a people. The more a foreigner knows about the language of another country the more he is able to move through all levels of that society. Therefore, if the foreigner is an enemy of the country, to the extent that he knows the body of the language, to that extent is the country vulnerable to attack or invasion of a foreign culture.

For example, if you take a slave, if you teach him all about your language, he will know all your secrets, and he is then no more a slave, for you can't fool him any longer.

[Lynch is only saying crudely what Thomas Jefferson said 80 years later: Those who wish to be both free and ignorant wish for something that never was nor will be.

Our courts' modern system of "legalese" (complete with "terms of art" that have meanings totally unimagined by the common man) is an example of the use of language to "fool" the slave-taxpayers.]

For example, if you told a slave that he must perform in getting out "our crops" and he knows the language well, he would know that "our crops" didn't mean "our crops" and the slavery system would break down, for he would relate on the basis of what "our crops" really meant. So you have to be careful in setting up the new language for the slaves would soon be in your house, talking to you "man to man" and that is death to our economic system.

[How many times have you heard your gov-co talk about fighting for "our freedoms"? What th' hell "freedoms" are they talking about? What "freedoms" do you and I still actually enjoy? The only reason I'm not in jail is that gov-co hasn't had enough time and resources to build enough prisons to house people who write articles like this—and people who read articles like this.

"Our freedoms," my ass. "Our system of government," my ass.

Whatever still passes for "freedom" in this country is merely a reflection of an "economic" reality that gov-co has not yet figured out a cost-effective method to imprison or kill everyone one of us "nigger slaves" who are "uppity" enough to demand real Liberty.]

In addition, the **definitions** of words or terms are only a minute part of the process.

[Texas Penal Code section 1.04 is entitled "TERRITORIAL JURISDICTION". Sub-section (d) defines "this state" as follows: "This state includes the land and

the water and the airspace above the land and water over which this state has power to define offenses."

"This state" has no geographic or physical borders. "This state" exists anywhere on this planet where "this state" has power to define offenses. While "definitions" may have been only a "minute part of the process" in Lynch's time, today, definitions are the fundamental devices by which we are enslaved.]

Values are created and transported by communication through the body of the language. A total society has many interconnected value system. All the values in the society have bridges of language to connect them for orderly working in the society. But for these language bridges, these many value systems would sharply clash and cause internal strife or civil war, the degree of the conflict being determined by the magnitude of the issues or relative opposing strength in whatever form.

For example, if you put a slave in a hog pen and train him to live there and incorporate in him to **value it** as a way of life completely, the biggest problem you would have out of him is that he would worry you about provisions to keep the hog pen clean, or the same hog pen and make a slip and incorporate something in his language whereby he comes to value a house more than he does his hog pen, you got a problem. He will soon be in your house.

[That's the end of Willie Lynch's letter.

But much like Lynch concluded, over the past two generations, American Negroes have been taught to "<a href="value">value</a>" being "black" and having "soul". "I'm black and I'm proud!", hmm? "Ah ahm sumbuddy!"

The average white—hearing "I'm black and I'm proud" and "Ah ahm sumbuddy"—keeps a straight face but secretly laughs. Proud of what? C'mon. Let's get real!

In 300 years, the primary achievement by American Negroes has been to prove that Willie Lynch was an almost supernatural genius.

Today, blacks insist on the glory of embracing black "values". I guarantee those "values" (like athleticism) are just keeping blacks exactly where Willie Lynch left 'em: as dependents in bondage. In defense of "black values," some blacks beat and even kill other blacks who are studying hard, trying to learn and "acting white". Yeah, you're black and your proud.

And what th' hell have "black values" led to for generations? Self-imposed isolation, poverty, dependence and "neo-slavery". What percentage of the black population is not dependent on government? What percentage of the black population will not "eat out of government's hand"? Not very many.

Lynch would be jubilant. 300 years after Lynch got the ball bouncin', the "niggers" are still celebrating the "value" of their "hog troughs".

But are we whites any better? Not much.

We get *teary-eyed* celebrating "our freedoms" and other patriotic crapola—even though we're not free to own legal title to our homes and cars, don't own our children, can't drive to the store without fastening our seat belts, are subject to laws that Congress votes for without even reading, and are forced to pay over half of our income to support a government whose primary goal is our national destruction.

We have become a nation of white niggers—dependent and afraid to stand up. Unwilling to even compel our government to protect our borders. Willing to give everyone the benefit of the doubt (except ourselves). Gorging out of government's hand and clinging to patriotic illusions that have no objective reality.

There's only two ways out: First, back through the Good LORD. The males must return to being "natural" men (with natural women) as *God* made themnot as government made them. We gotta get off the government dole. Gotta support ourselves on whatever we make—even if that means being homeless. Why? Because in that *honest* poverty, you men can find some pride and independence.

And you women are going to have to recognize the incredible vanity and wickedness that lies at the heart of the matriarchy. Women will have to recognize that so long as they have dominant power, their children will live as slaves.

#### Fat chance of that happening, hmm?

Well, the second solution is a total economic collapse where government fails and no longer has anything in its "hand" for us to eat. Once we can't eat out of the government's hand, we'll be forced to learn how to produce for ourselves. It'll be a brutal process, but once we learn to survive without gov-co, we'll be headed away from the principles of Willie Lynch and back towards our personal independence and our God-given, unalienable Rights.